

## THE CHALLENGE OF HAVING ALEXA, GOOGLE, AND SIRI ALWAYS ON (SITE RECENT COURT CASES)

There are worries about privacy and the possibility of unauthorized audio recording because voiceactivated virtual assistants like Alexa, google assistant, and Siri constantly listen and prepare to reply to requests. Recent court cases have addressed some of the challenges and developed discussions about the consequences of this technology. We will briefly go over the fundamental issues in the response and highlight some significant court cases.

The main problem with always-on voice-activated virtual assistants is the possibility of privacy infringement. These gadgets listen for certain wake words or phrases continually to activate and conduct user orders. This does mean that they are continually recording sounds from their surroundings, though. There have been occasions where unintentional activations have led to the recording and transmission of private conversations, despite assurances from tech companies to customers that only audio captured after the wake word is detected is processed and retained.

One significant concern is the unauthorized collection and storage of personal data. Critics argue that these virtual assistants may collect more information than necessary, potentially recording sensitive conversations without user consent. This raises questions about the control and security of the data, as well as the potential for third-party access or data breaches.

## CASE: 1

Several court cases have shed light on these concerns and sparked legal debates. One notable case involved Amazon's Alexa. In 2018, an Alexa device in a New Hampshire home became a focal point of a murder investigation. The prosecution sought access to the recordings from the device, claiming it may have captured evidence related to the crime. This case highlighted the potential implications of always-on virtual assistants as potential sources of evidence in criminal investigations.

## CASE: 2

In another case in 2020, google was sued for allegedly violating user privacy by collecting and storing audio recordings without consent. The lawsuit argued that Google's virtual assistant, google assistant, continued to record, and store conversations even after the wake word was detected. This case brought attention to the need for clear and transparent privacy policies and user controls regarding audio data collection.

These court cases have prompted discussions about the legal implications of always-on virtual assistants and the need for robust privacy protections. As a result, tech companies have made efforts to address these concerns. They have implemented privacy features such as manual deletion of recordings, clearer data collection policies, and enhanced user controls over data sharing and storage.

To mitigate potential privacy risks, users can take certain precautions. These include familiarizing themselves with the privacy settings and options provided by the virtual assistant, regularly reviewing and deleting stored voice recordings, and being mindful of the environments in which these devices are placed.

In conclusion, the challenge of having always-on voice-activated virtual assistants revolves around privacy concerns and the potential for unauthorized audio recording. Recent court cases have

This white paper was written by Tejesh Kumar Raghupatruni for CanDoTech Consulting Inc's internal discussion. All rights reserved. This document cannot be reproduced or distributed without the written consent of CanDoTech Consulting Inc.



highlighted the need for clearer privacy policies, user controls, and transparency regarding data collection and storage. As technology continues to evolve, striking a balance between the convenience of virtual assistants and safeguarding user privacy will remain a crucial challenge for both technology companies and regulators.

This white paper was written by Tejesh Kumar Raghupatruni for CanDoTech Consulting Inc's internal discussion. All rights reserved. This document cannot be reproduced or distributed without the written consent of CanDoTech Consulting Inc.